

**From:** Quechick Barnyard  
**To:** ActionForum Central, dem- fl- broward, CitizensAgainst Bush, ImpeachSonOfa Bush, Bush Busters, Senator Byrd, trustthepeople- cadems, Wes Boyd, art bell, Dem-CA-RiversideSan Bernardino, Senator Biden, tim. bishop, AUfPA, robert b, Senator Baucus, united-stands- amercia, c an, Sen ator, action, dem- activist, baltimore activists, HumaneRights Agenda, friendsof al gore, Truemajority alerts, America\_for\_ all, Leftwing \_Rejects, bushcon 4, glory 4you, AL GORE 2004, 2020, binladentape - -, trustthepeople -dallas, crisispapers, Michael Copps, bbb counter, the911 coverup, Demo crat, USAGOVSIM COFFEE, j conason, democrats connection, Senator Conrad, wtc conspiracy, John. Conyers, Senator Corzine, bill coulter, RM- Counsel, Progressive Chat, clg\_news@legitgov.org, cnn.feedback, ActFor Change, Kucinich Campaign  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 8:33 AM  
**Subject:** Oppose Deregulations and Demand FCC regulations and sustaining Democratic Ideals

"Michael Copps" <MCOPPS@fcc.gov> | This is spam | Add  
to Address Book  
To: quechick007@yahoo.com

Thank you for your message concerning media consolidation. I am happy to know that you are participating in the debate over this issue and hope that you will continue to do so in the weeks leading up to the June 2 vote and thereafter. We must come to grips with this issue because it is so important not only for the kinds of entertainment we get from our media, but also from the standpoint of what it means for the news and information that sustains our country's democratic dialogue. I hope you will talk about this issue with your friends, neighbors, local media and government officials. Again, thanks for getting in touch

Please, Keep this email address: It is to the fcc.gov

Please help spread this around the forums. Keep this

address for this person is with the FCC.gov

This may be our last chance...he is on the people's side.

\*\*\*\*\*

"Michael Copps" <MCOPPS@fcc.gov> | This is spam | Add to Address Book  
To: quechick007@yahoo.com

Thank you for your message concerning media consolidation. I am happy to know that you are participating in the debate over this issue and hope that you will continue to do so in the weeks leading up to the June 2 vote and thereafter. We must come to grips with this issue because it is so important not only for the kinds of entertainment we get from our media, but also from the standpoint of what it means for the news and information that sustains our country's democratic dialogue. I hope you will talk about this issue with your friends, neighbors, local media and government officials. Again, thanks for getting in touch.

\*\*\*\*\*

This link is a letter from Ted Turner in the WashingtonPost

"Michael Copps" <MCOPPS@fcc.gov> | This is spam | Add to Address Book  
To: quechick007@yahoo.com

Thank you for your message concerning media consolidation. I am happy to know that you are participating in the debate over this issue and hope that you will continue to do so in the weeks leading up to the June 2 vote and thereafter. We must come to grips with this issue because it is so important not only for the kinds of entertainment we get from our media, but also from the standpoint of what it means for the news and information that sustains our country's democratic dialogue. I hope you will talk about this issue with your friends, neighbors, local media and government officials. Again, thanks for getting in touch.

"Michael Copps" <MCOPPS@fcc.gov> | This is spam | Add to Address Book  
To: quechick007@yahoo.com

Thank you for your message concerning media consolidation. I am happy to know that you are participating in the debate over this issue and hope that you will continue to do so in the weeks leading up to the June 2 vote and thereafter. We must come to grips with this issue because it is so important not only for the kinds of entertainment we get from our media, but also from the standpoint of what it means for the news and information that sustains our country's democratic dialogue. I hope you will talk

about this issue  
with  
your friends, neighbors, local media and government  
officials. Again,  
thanks  
for getting in touch.

---

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Calendar - Free online calendar with sync to Outlook(TM).

<http://calendar.yahoo.com>

**From:** Toni Aiello  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 8:46 AM  
**Subject:** Don't ease restrictions

Commissioner Copps:

Those across the political spectrum who are urging the FCC not to ease ownership restrictions on the media industry are correct. Businesses who own the media have the power to prioritize and edit content and shape the public's programming interests, not merely respond to the public's own programming preferences. Unlike control over McDonald's menu or Disney World's rides, this much power in too few hands undermines the foundation for well-informed public choices in a democracy.

Toni L. Aiello

Bayside, NY

**From:** Ed D'Amato  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 8:57 AM  
**Subject:** No further monopoly, please!

Mr Copps:

I write to ask that the FCC does NOT allow any regulatory changes in its upcoming vote that will allow any further media monopoly. Allowing further monopoly will be detrimental to the public interest. In fact, the FCC should be taking steps to reverse some of the consolidation that has already occurred.

Thank you for your consideration.

Edward D'Amato  
217 Eastland Rd  
Berea, OH 44017

**From:** jan robbins  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 10:29 AM  
**Subject:** <No Subject>

I emlore you to postpone the vote planned in June to expand the monology of the airwaves, until further study and public education/comment can be completed.

Sincerely,

Jan Robbins

janrobbins8@hotmail.com

**From:** melandlaurel  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 10:44 AM  
**Subject:** Mr. Chairman, help me understand

Chairman Powell,

In anticipating with great interest your rule change meeting of 2 June, we want you, and the other commissioners, to help our family understand how, exactly, we will receive an equal or greater diversity of voices in the media involved with communication of news, educational information and entertainment and other "programming" by your allowing the further consolidation of media by removing regulations and limits on that ownership.

In helping me to understand that, it might be appropriate for you to first declare whether or not you believe that the current media climate is diverse enough (in terms of representing the view out among the citizenry), as well as whether or not you think that anyone can compete in that arena, as opposed to the arena being truly closed without an ability of a candidate to participation meeting a certain fiscal set of criteria.

In answerign these questions, and entering into a dialogue with at least this family, maybe we can begin to understand, exactly, how this will benefit the type of communication we are hoping for: that is, diverse, democratic, informative, in-depth, and overall based upon the promotion of citizenship and participatory democratic ideals including, but also going beyond, voting (i.e., media informative and entertaining content that allows citizens to know more about institutions and how they function -or not - with regard to the "greater good," instead of the reduction of "democracy" to "voting.").

We look forward to your response.

Warmly,

The Brennan Family  
(Mel, Laurel, Mel IV, and Waverly)

Teaneck, NJ

**CC:** Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein



**From:** Knotlookin@aol.com  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 10:47 AM  
**Subject:** against the proposed changes

I am vehemently AGAINST the proposed changes to media ownership - its fine the way it is - leave it alone - clearchannel does NOT need a bigger foothold in the business and nthr does murdoch. our once praised FREE PRESS has become a laughing stock to the world because of a consolidation of ownership - dont make it worse then it already is - leave the laws the way they are or make laws to BREAK UP the big conglomerates - do NOT make laws limiting the number of owners.

Meryl Vladimer  
NYC , NY

**From:** Lucy Crane and Don Smith  
**To:** Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 11:08 AM  
**Subject:** Changes in FCC regulations

To the chairman and commissioners of the FCC:

I write to request in the strongest possible terms that you do not change the rules and policies concerning multiple ownership of stations. I want to have free access to the most varied new sources I can find, and living in a rural state with the potential for a very small number of owners to take command of all media outlets I worry quite a bit that what I get to read and hear has been filtered and edited by people who know little and care less about New Mexico.

Please take the voices of the public into consideration as you make such an important decision.

Sincerely yours,

Donald W. Smith  
3032 9th St NW  
Albuquerque, NM 87107

**From:** Jim  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 11:12 AM  
**Subject:** MM Docket No 01-317 and MB Docket No 02-277

NO NO NO! Please don't vote until ALL sides are heard! If you would, read 1984. You will see what this vote on Monday will do. Big Brother will be alive and well in Our Great Country if these proposed changes come into effect.

USA All The WAY,  
Jim Hardin

Those who are willing to sacrifice essential liberties for a little order, will lose both and deserve neither.  
- Benjamin Franklin

All Outgoing Mail  
Has Been Scanned  
Certified Virus Free  
By Norton Antivirus  
For a Free Virus Scan  
Go to. [www.sarc.com](http://www.sarc.com)

**From:** Russ Harper  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 11:35 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Broadcast Ownership Rules

Thanks for acknowledging my message.. Most of your colleagues did not offer such a courtesy.

I am curious about ownership of broadcast entities? Monday is the big day on this decision, but my concerns are about communities and my ability as a small guy to participate in dialog about local issues-- If you guys approve this ruling in favor of the broadcast trusts, is there a mechanism in broadcasting like the community banking act that requires a portion of the broadcasting editorial and other content to be sourced locally??

----- Original Message -----

**From:** "Michael Copps" <MCOPPS@fcc.gov>  
**To:** <russ.harper@lycos.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, May 18, 2003 4:21 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Broadcast Ownership Rules (Thank you for your message concerning media consolidation.)

Thank you for your message concerning media consolidation. I am happy to know that you are participating in the debate over this issue and hope that you will continue to do so in the weeks leading up to the June 2 vote and thereafter. We must come to grips with this issue because it is so important not only for the kinds of entertainment we get from our media, but also from the standpoint of what it means for the news and information that sustains our country's democratic dialogue. I hope you will talk about this issue with your friends, neighbors, local media and government officials. Again, thanks for getting in touch.

**From:** John Varban  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 11:59 AM  
**Subject:** FCC Proposed Changes

We are AGAINST the FCC's proposed changes. We believe it would limit our access for information and choices.  
Mariet and John Varban

**From:** elaine weyand  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 1:05 PM  
**Subject:** fcc ruling changes

sir or madam,

it is my understanding that the mandate of the fcc is to ENSURE that the public is provided with diversity both in programing and ownership of our communications organizations. it is unclear how these proposed changes are in our best interest. diversity depends on differences in viewpoint as well as reporting. I have yet to find any buisness which will give an opposing view to it's own political stance. I must then conclude that politics not public interest are the driving factors behind these changes. shame on all of you for 'selling out' the american people for political gain. my disgust and distrust of the bush government and it's agencies has simply deepened.

elaine weyand

**From:** CR Masterson  
**To:** Mike Powell, president@whitehouse.gov, senator@boxer.senate.gov,  
senator@feinstein.senate.gov, tom\_daschle@daschle.senate.gov  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 1:10 PM  
**Subject:** NO CONSOLIDATION!!!!!!

Dear Chairman, and Commissioners, and Lawmakers:

IF you believe in democracy,  
IF you believe in what makes this nation great,  
YOU WILL PAY ATTENTION to the PEOPLE'S VOICE!!!!!!  
Americans are increasingly disgusted with corporate shennanigans and the beaurocrats and politicians  
that aid and abet the anti-democracy forces at work.

Even USA Interactive chief executive Barry Diller, who controlled broad media holdings before focusing on  
Internet businesses, favors keeping ownership limits. In a speech before the April convention of the  
National Association of Broadcasters, he said: "The conventional wisdom is wrong. We need more  
regulation, not less."

The whole issue of consolidation is NOT being handled well or honorably.  
Once AGAIN it looks like the only thing Republicans care about is CORPORATE welfare at the expense of  
our long-held democratic practices and institutions. Do you want to be known as the FCC that sold  
freedom short? Sold it for the proverbial "30 pieces of silver"?  
In this complex world, we need MORE SUPPORT FOR FREEDOM and TO MAKE DIVERSITY, not  
consolidation, THE RULE OF THE DAY.

DELAY THE VOTE!  
PROMOTE CHOICE AND FREEDOM!!!!!!!!!!

Sincerely,  
A VOTER and CITIZEN  
C.R. Masterson  
San Francisco, CA.  
94110

---

MSN 8 helps ELIMINATE E-MAIL VIRUSES. Get 2 months FREE\*.

**CC:** Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein,  
jack@reed.senate.gov, jim\_inhofe@inhofe.senate.gov, j.wright@tcu.edu, John\_Kerry@kerry.senate.gov,  
NDehlinger@rnchq.org, olympia@snowe.senate.gov, oregon@gsmith.senate.gov,  
senator@mikulski.senate.gov, senator\_byrd@byrd.senate.gov, senator\_fitzgerald@fitzgerald.senate.gov,  
senator\_kohl@kohl.senate.gov, senator\_lugar@lugar.senate.gov, senator\_murray@murray.senate.gov,  
senator@nickles.senate.gov, senator@akaka.senate.gov, senator@kennedy.senate.gov,  
senator@bayh.senate.gov, senator@stabenow.senate.gov, senator2@levin.senate.gov,  
senator@clinton.senate.gov, senator@levin.senate.gov, senator@rockefeller.senate.gov,  
dick@durbin.senate.gov, vermont@jeffords.senate.gov, Senator@Biden.Senate.gov,  
senatorlott@lott.senate.gov, senator\_leahy@leahy.senate.gov

**From:** Jerry Bowman  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 1:28 PM  
**Subject:** NO TO MURDOCK

The right to know is a corner stone of the American Republic. Diversity of information sources is critical for a well informed public. We don't need anymore blatantly slanted news or political cheerleading so prevalent on some of our news sources...i.e. Rupert Murdoch's conglomerate and other similar organizations. I believe your mission is to promote diversity of view points rather than to restrict the countries news sources. I hope your actions will prove me accurate in my beliefs.



**From:** S Brown  
**To:** Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Sat, May 31, 2003 1:47 PM  
**Subject:** re: Open Commission Meeting June 2

Dear Sirs and Madam:

I am writing to voice my personal opinion of the Open Commission Meeting concerning media ownership rules to be held June 2, 2003. I am an avid patron of various forms of media currently governed by the FCC; I am also a commercial radio license holder and have worked for both commercial and non-commercial stations, so I understand and appreciate the kinds of regulations the FCC governs. I consider this vote to be very important to the distribution of information. Frankly, it makes me uncomfortable to know that the information I depend on is held in the corporate hands of a few. The few remaining independent radio, newspaper, and television stations are losing out to more powerful and widespread conglomerates.

Because of the possible further consolidation of radio stations, newspapers, and television stations, fewer ideas will be expressed, not to mention the loss of job opportunities since more stations broadcasting the same information means fewer people will be needed for the broadcast. I am already annoyed to find the same nightly news broadcast between 10:00pm and midnight. At your website you claim to act in the public interest, and although I had no part in appointing you to your offices, I expect you to consider all the angles and interests before you reach a decision. Thank you very much for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Shan-Estelle Brown

-----  
Shan-Estelle Brown  
P.O. Box 208  
Groton, CT 06340  
shan-estelle@aya.yale.edu OR  
sbrown279@yahoo.com

---

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Calendar - Free online calendar with sync to Outlook(TM).  
<http://calendar.yahoo.com>

To FCC Chairman:

6/2/03

I am vehemently opposed to media mergers. This is a free society and should remain so. Let's not cater to corporate interests and maintain some variety.

Rick McNeil  
101 Briggs St #3  
Easthampton, MA 01027

02-277

**From:** Abbaj753@cs.com  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Tue, Jun 3, 2003 7:40 AM  
**Subject:** Rules Change

What can be done to reverse this decision? Please let me know. It's so important that this be undone.  
Sincerely,  
William A. Johnnes

02-277

**From:** Tom Bader  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Tue, Jun 3, 2003 2:59 PM  
**Subject:** Comments to the Commissioner

Tom Bader (badert@cch.com) writes

I strongly disagree with your ruling to ease ownership rules

---

Server protocol HTTP/1.1  
Remote host 12.214.202.111  
Remote IP address 12.214.202.111